# PARADIGMS

Paul Daniel Nedeloiu

# Boyar Families of Transylvanian Origin, Village Owners in Neamţ District (15<sup>th</sup>-17<sup>th</sup> Centuries)

In the present study, I aim to uncover the history of three boyar families with Hungarian-sounding names, which held villages in Neamt district until the middle of the 17<sup>th</sup> century.

#### Paul Daniel Nedeloiu

Teacher at Roznov Theoretical High School (Neamţ County), with a Ph.D. awarded by Alexandru Ioan Cuza University of Iaşi.

HE IDEA that certain boyar families in the Moldavian area were of Transylvanian, or even Hungarian, origin has been adopted in Romanian historiography since the beginning of the 20<sup>th</sup> century. The historian Radu Rosetti, in a study on the Csangos and Szeklers in Moldavia, showed that several boyars of Hungarian origin were present in Moldavia in the 14<sup>th</sup>–15<sup>th</sup> centuries, such as Levet Miclouş, Ghelebi Miclouş, Micluş Faur, Domoncuş, Toma Poşorca, Ianoş Izvereţul or Şandru.<sup>1</sup> Maria Magdalena Székely has recently made important contributions to this subject in her study "Familii de boieri din Moldova de origine transilvăneană" (Transylvanian-origin boyar families in Moldavia), where she emphasized the multiethnic origin of the boyar families outside the Carpathian arch, which is illustrated by the names of some of the boyars (Toader Urdiugaş, Ştefan Leghetiş, Laslău, Giulea, Dieniş), but

also by place names (Fărcuşeni, Fecheteşti, Lăslăoani, Vereşeşti, Verişani, Micşăuşani, Balinți, Birăeşti, Corlăteni).<sup>2</sup> The other authors who suggested the possible Hungarian ancestry of some Moldavian boyar families are Gh. Ghibănescu<sup>3</sup> and, more recently, Lucian Valeriu Lefter.<sup>4</sup>

At the 15<sup>th</sup> National Congress on Genealogy and Heraldry, which took place in Iaşi on 13–15 May 2010, I presented a paper entitled "Neamul Urdiugaş-Dumbravă din ținutul Neamţ în secolele XV–XVII" (The Urdiugaş-Dumbravă family from Neamţ district in the 15<sup>th</sup>–17<sup>th</sup> centuries),<sup>5</sup> where I asserted the possible Hungarian origin of the founders of these families and I provided a genealogical analysis of the relevant boyar family. I found that in Neamţ district, whose history represents the research subject of my Ph.D. thesis, there were other boyars with Hungarian-sounding names, which aroused my curiosity and made me look more thoroughly into this matter. I have thus tried to find out what these people's status was in Neamţ district, if the documents mention their origin, and who their descendants were until the middle of the 17<sup>th</sup> century.

In the present study, I aim to uncover the history of three boyar families with Hungarian-sounding names, which held villages in Neamţ district until the middle of the 17<sup>th</sup> century. I also try to find out if these boyars and their descendants advanced both socially, by acquiring new lands, and politically, by serving in high offices in the administrative system of Moldavia. Finally, I shall compile their family trees.

As expected, at the basis of such research stood the land deeds published in the national document collections, but also those included in the Documents collection of the National Archives, Iaşi County Divison.

### I. The Urdiugaş-Dumbravă Family

HE URDIUGAŞ-DUMBRAVĂ family was one of the petty boyar families that owned lands in Neamţ district in the 15<sup>th</sup> century. The first surname— Urdiugaş—drew the attention of the document editors, but also that of the researchers of local history or of the history of Moldavian boyars.

Maria Magdalena Székely showed, in a study published in the magazine Arhiva genealogică (The Genealogical Archive) in 1994,<sup>6</sup> that this name is of Hungarian origin, coming from "ördöngös," which means "devilish." If this assertion is correct, then it means that the members of this family came from Transylvania, either from among the Romanians in this historic area or from among the Hungarians, since it is known that when the first state founder, Dragoş from Bedeu, crossed the Carpathians and settled to the east, both Romanian and Hungarian Transylvanians settled in Moldavia and received lands there.

The idea of the Hungarian ancestry of certain Romanian families is also found in the *Dicționarul limbii românești* (Dictionary of the Romanian language),<sup>7</sup> published by August Scriban in 1939, where the author shows that Romanian names that end in "iş," "uş" or "aş"—such as *Urdiugaş*, in our case—are of Hungarian origin. However, the Tartar origin of this word cannot be ruled out, as "ordu" in Mongolian means "yard."<sup>8</sup> The fact that the boyar class was not closed to other classes or to foreigners has already been pointed out by historian Ștefan S. Gorovei.<sup>9</sup>

As to the other surname, Dumbravă, it is Romanian. Maria Magdalena Székely showed, in the study mentioned above, that during Alexander the Good's reign there lived a boyar called Ștefan Leghetiş, whose name in Hungarian meant "grove" ("dumbravă").<sup>10</sup> Therefore a connection might be possible between him and the family we are considering. It is interesting, however, that the area of Neamț district where this family held land for more than four centuries, namely the valley of Pârâul Alb, with the villages of Ghigoeşti, Măleşti, Obârşia and Dragomireşti, was an area covered in oak woods, which was called "grove" ("dumbravă").<sup>11</sup> Could this be a mere coincidence?!

In this section, I have tried to piece together the history of this family of petty boyars in the 15<sup>th</sup>–17<sup>th</sup> centuries, drawing on the land deeds published in the national document collections,<sup>12</sup> but also on the documents in the collection of the National Archives, Iaşi County Division.<sup>13</sup> The research cannot claim to be exhaustive, as the genealogical bloodline I presented has gaps caused by the lack of documents in certain periods, but also because the descendants of this family did not keep their ancestors' surnames, which makes it sometimes difficult to establish each member's place in the family tree. I have also tried to discover if this family evolved economically, by acquiring new properties, as well as socially, by serving in high offices in the Moldavian administrative system.

**1. THE FAMILY.** The first document I studied which mentions this family dates from 1479; on 12 May 1479, Stephen the Great confirmed, among others, the sale of an inherited estate located in Măleşti village by a boyar named Toader Urdiugaş to another boyar, named Cozma, from Hlăpeşti.<sup>14</sup> Toader Urdiugaş sold to Cozma, as mentioned in the document, half of Măleşti village, "the higher part" and 12 plots of land, for 60 Tartar zlotys.

Further information on Toader Urdiugaş's family comes from another document signed by Stephen the Great, of 23 September 1483.<sup>15</sup> In this document, the Moldavian prince confirms the property rights of Toader Urdiugaş and his brother, Ivan Dumbravă, over the villages of Obârşia and Măleşti (Neamţ district) and Ivăneşti (Iaşi district). The document contains additional important information. Thus, we learn that Toader Urdiugaş's home was in the village of Obârşia, "at the springs of the Pârâul Alb stream," his wife's name was Nastea and her dowry was the village of Ghigoeşti, "at the springs of the Pârâul Negru stream."<sup>16</sup> Nowadays, the village of Obârşia no longer exists, as it merged with the village of Borniş in the past century. In 1938, there were three houses left in Obârşia, and they were located between the villages of Borniş and Negoeşti.<sup>17</sup> Not long thereafter, Obârşia would be included in the village of Borniş.<sup>18</sup> Gheorghe Mareş and Dumitru Mareş, in their monograph of the village of Ghigoeşti, when referring to the document mentioned above, state that, in their opinion, these villages were offered by Stephen the Great to Toader Urdiugaş and Ivan Dumbravă as a reward for their bravery in the battle against the Turks that took place at Războieni-Valea Albă on 26 July 1476.<sup>19</sup> However, this statement is incorrect, first and foremost because there is no mention of the battle, although the document says that the two brothers had served the prince "justly and faithfully." Second, their ownership over the villages was confirmed, not "granted."

The brothers' ages are unknown, but it can be assumed that they were at least in their forties, because we learn from a document dated 9 March 1490<sup>20</sup> that Toader Urdiugaş had adult children, who sold parts of a village located in the valley of Pârâul Alb. This document provides a few more pieces of information on the Urdiugaş-Dumbravă family. Thus, we find out that, on the date mentioned above, Iosip, Toader Urdiugaş's son and Drăguş's grandson, sold his part of the inherited land, namely a third of the village Ghigoeşti, to Sima and his brother, Avăr, for 80 Tartar zlotys. Seven years before, the village was entirely owned by Toader Urdiugaş, who had it from his wife Nastea; in 1490, it was divided into three parts, one of which was owned by Iosip; therefore one can infer that Toader Urdiugaş had three children and that he had divided the village in the meantime and offered it to them.

This is confirmed by a document issued half a century later by Prince Peter Rareş, on 28 May 1546.<sup>21</sup> The document is practically a kind of family tree, since it presents the descendants of the two brothers, Ivan Dumbravă and Toader Urdiugaş, up to their great-grandchildren: "Before us came . . . Ghidion and his brother, Ionaşco, and their sisters, Duşca, Angrişca and Ilca, Droţ's sons and their cousins, Nicoară and his sister, Greaca and their niece, Anesia, Gavril's sons, and their cousins too, Buda and Berea's brother, and their sisters Soriţa, Fiica (Sofiica), Duşca and Anisia, Ilisafta and Iosip's sons, all Toader Urdugaş's grandchildren"<sup>22</sup>—this is an excerpt from Toader Urdiugaş's line of descent. We learn therefore that Iosip, the son mentioned in 1490, was married to Ilisafta.

Another document from 28 June 1569, issued by Prince Bogdan Lăpuşneanu, offers new information on the descendants of this family. At that date, One (Onea, Oană) and his sister Anuşca, together with their grandsons, Luca and Ieremie, and their sister Măgdălina, Catrina's children, all Costea's grandchildren, received confirmation of their ownership over a third of the village of Obârşia located

on the Pârâul Alb stream, "where Toader [Urdiugaş] dwelled."<sup>23</sup> In this document there appears the first mention of a boyar who held offices in the Moldavian administrative system—namely Drăghici the vătav (administrator), who appears to have been Onea's son, Catrina's grandson and Costea's great-grandson. But who was Costea, since he is not mentioned in the first documents providing information on the Urdiugaş-Dumbravă family? The generational calculations show that he was a contemporary of Toader Urdiugaş and Ivan Dumbravă. Since he owned parts of the village of Obârşia, I believe that he was closely related to the two brothers and that he was most probably their brother-in-law, married to their sister. This assumption is confirmed by a document issued much later, in 1765, in which the prince certifies the freeholders' land in the village of Ghigoeşti. At the end of the document, we find an interesting statement: "These elders were Ion Hurdiugaş and Toader Hurdiugaş and their sister . . . and they are the ancestors of all the freeholders in Ghigoeşti..."<sup>24</sup>

We notice that, with the passing of time, confusions occur: in the second half of the 18<sup>th</sup> century, the freeholders mistakenly call Ivan Dumbravă their ancestor, Ion "Hurdiugaş," like his brother, Toader. However, it is important that their sister is also mentioned, even if not by name, and she is probably Costea's wife, as mentioned above.

Prince Bogdan Lăpuşneanu also certified, two years later, on 15 July 1571, that Hromşa, a former *urednic* (high official) in Ştefăneşti, owned half of the village of Măleşti, located in the valley of Pârâul Alb and half of a third of the village of Obârşia. Reapede the *aprod* (bailiff) placed these properties as collateral for a loan of 70 Tartar zlotys and seemingly lost them because he was not able to pay back the loan. Consequently, Reapede the *aprod* was a descendent of the Urdiugaş-Dumbravă family and, like Drăghici the *vătav*, whose contemporary he was, he held a lesser position in the country's administration. Whereas for almost a century we have no information that the members of this family held offices in the country's administration, in the second half of the 16<sup>th</sup> century such information starts to appear.

Some documents from the end of the 16<sup>th</sup> century and the beginning of the next century mention other descendents of this family, but also blood relations with other boyar families that owned lands in the valley of the Pårâul Alb stream. Thus, from a document issued by prince Peter the Lame on 2 July 1589,<sup>25</sup> we learn that Ionaşco, Trifan's son, bought from Ionaşco, Droţ's son, a fifth of the villages of Ghigoeşti and Obârşia. This information confirms, in fact, the data in the document issued by Peter Rareş,<sup>26</sup> where there was a mention of Ionaşco as Droţ's son. Who was the buyer, i.e. Ionaşco, Trifan's son? He was a relative who, based on priority rights,<sup>27</sup> bought these village parts. In subsequent documents, he is named "Ionaşco *vătav de visternicei* din Obârşia" (Ionaşco, administrator of the treasury of Obârșia),<sup>28</sup> a descendant of the boyar Crăciun Belcescu,<sup>29</sup> and married to Sorița,<sup>30</sup> Toader Urdiugaș's granddaughter.

Ionaşco, the vătav de visternicei of Obârşia was an important person in the community, as he is on the witness list of various sales documents referring to villages in Neamţ district.<sup>31</sup> In 1610,<sup>32</sup> Ionaşco lost his position, and he is mentioned as a "former administrator of the treasury." Later, in 1613, his name appears in a document<sup>33</sup> issued by Ştefan II Tomşa in connection to a lower office, vistiernicel (a subordinate of the treasury administrator). It is very interesting that, when he is no longer mentioned as vătav de visternicei, i.e. after 1610, he no longer buys village parts, and until 1619 he is mentioned as a witness or as a party in different trials. It can be concluded that his fortune was acquired based on the office he held, which provided him with the necessary means to extend his domain.

Consequently, the two important boyar families in the area, Belcescu and Urdiugaş-Dumbravă, became related by marriage in the 16<sup>th</sup> century, which explains why their members bought, sold or divided up their fortunes, consisting of village parts located in the relevant area of the Neamţ district. For that matter, between 1596<sup>34</sup> and 1609,<sup>35</sup> Ionaşco, the *vătav de visternicei*, and his wife, Soriţa, bought village parts from both the Urdiugaş-Dumbravă family inheritance<sup>36</sup> and the Belcescu family inheritance,<sup>37</sup> which confirms the hypothesis that these two families were related.

The sales documents point to further descendents of the Urdiugaş-Dumbravă family. Thus, a document dating from the period 1596–1600<sup>38</sup> refers to Toader Urdiugaş's descendents: "Mihăilă Babici, Sofiica's grandson, Toader Urdiugaş's great grandson" sold his parts of the villages of Obârșia and Mălești to Ionașco, the *vătav de visternicei*. The latter bought parts of these villages from other relatives, such as: "Eremia and his brothers of Ghigoești and his cousins, Lazor and his brother, Ion of Popești, and their cousins, Istratie and Gheorghie's brother from Bilăești, and also Ion and his sister, Tudora from Bilăești."<sup>39</sup> This information helps fill in the family tree of the Urdiugaş-Dumbravă family.

In the same period (1596–1600), Ionaşco the *vătav de visternicei* bought parts of the villages of Obârșia and Mălești from Toader Urdiugaș's great-great grandchildren: "Ursu and Gligorie's brother from Cosițeni, Voica's grandchildren, the great-grandchildren of Eremia Droț,"<sup>40</sup> who was mentioned in the 1546 document issued by Peter Rareș.<sup>41</sup> He also bought from his "relatives," Anastasia and Oniul, Andreica's children, parts of the village of Obârșia for 30 Tartar zlotys, as shown in a document dating from the beginning of the 17<sup>th</sup> century.<sup>42</sup>

In the mid–17<sup>th</sup> century, a series of lawsuits and boundary-setting actions between the freeholders from the villages of Obârșia, Ghigoești and Mălești reveal new data on the descendents of the Urdiugaș-Dumbravă family. According to a document issued by Prince Vasile Lupu on 8 December 1638,<sup>43</sup> Andriian and Radul Turcul, both from Obârșia, litigated over parts of the villages of Obârșia and Mălești.<sup>44</sup> In another document issued by the same prince on 30 July 1641<sup>45</sup> it is shown that Roșca's children from Obârșia were entitled to "to keep the hay orchards located on their estate and inherited land, on the boundary between Mălești and Obârșia."<sup>46</sup> A boundary-setting decision in the village of Dragomirești, issued by Prince Vasile Lupu on 15 September 1646,<sup>47</sup> features a witness list that includes Pătrășcan of Hlăpești, Vasile and Ionașcu, all of them the sons of Andriian the *pitar* (bread supplier to the court). From the existing information one cannot be certain that Andriian the *pitar* is the same person as the Andriian mentioned in the 1638 document.<sup>48</sup> If this is the case, we are able to add some links to the family tree of the Urdiugaș-Dumbravă family.

**2. OFFICES HELD BY THE DESCENDENTS OF THE URDIUGAŞ-DUMBRAVĂ FAMILY.** The documents presented show that the descendents of the Urdiugaş-Dumbravă family did not hold offices in the  $15^{th}$  century. This would change in the  $16^{th}-17^{th}$  centuries, when there appear descendents holding generally minor offices, such as Drăghici the *vătav*, Ionaşco the *vătav de visternicei* (related by marriage to the relevant family), Reapede the *aprod*, or Andriian the *pitar*, mentioned above. In the second half of the  $17^{th}$  century, the descendents of the family included Pătraşco the *pitar*, mentioned on 23 July 1676.<sup>49</sup>

It is difficult to say if Dumbravă, a high magistrate of the Southern Country of Moldavia under Prince John the Brave, was related to this family, because the documents that refer to him give no such indication. In his *Dictionary*, Nicolae Stoicescu showed that he came from "a modest family"<sup>50</sup> and related him to Ivan Dumbravă's descendents. Historian Ilie Minea<sup>51</sup> believes that this high official was a Wallachian boyar, who was not related to the Urdiugaş-Dumbravă family. It is known that Dumbravă, *marele-vornic al Țării de Jos* (high magistrate of the Southern Country), held this office between 13 December 1572<sup>52</sup> and 10 May 1574,<sup>53</sup> during John the Brave's reign. When the latter was defeated and killed by the Turks, Dumbravă took refuge in Transylvania, but he was brought back by *marele-vornic* (the high magistrate) Ivaşco Golescu and surrendered to the new Prince, Peter the Lame; he was found guilty of treason and executed in 1575.<sup>54</sup>

**3. LANDED ESTATES.** The documents I was able to study show that the descendents of brothers Toader Urdiugaş and Ivan Dumbravă owned parts of the villages of Ghigoeşti (in the valley of Pârâul Negru), Măleşti and Obârşia (in the valley of Pârâul Alb), in the eastern part of Neamţ district. They did not add other villages to their estates because they did not enjoy a favorable financial situation and did not hold high offices in the administration of Moldavia. In time,

this led to the impoverishment of the family, particularly starting from the second half of the 16<sup>th</sup> century, when, by successive inheritance divisions and reassignments, each descendent received less, from one generation to another.

Thus, in the 17<sup>th</sup> century, most of these descendents were freeholders who jointly held parts of the villages mentioned and were aware that they belonged to the same family. The only exception is Ionaşco the *vătav de visternicei* of the village of Obârşia, who, between 1596 and 1609, as mentioned above, bought many parts of the villages of Obârşia, Măleşti, Ghigoeşti, Negoeşti, Corotchieşti and Dragomireşti.

**4. FOUNDING ACTIVITIES.** The documents I studied did not mention any churches founded by these boyars; however, they must have built such churches, but they were made of wood, a material which was abundant in that area, and therefore did not survive. We know that in the interwar period<sup>55</sup> there still existed a wooden church in the village of Ghigoeşti, built in the 18<sup>th</sup> century by the freeholders living there. One may conclude that it replaced another wooden church, since the existence of the village was certified in the 15<sup>th</sup> century.

# 2. Laslău the Globnic's Family

*GLOBNIC* WAS a civil servant whose main task was to collect fines in criminal matters owed by the persons who had been found guilty of different crimes (murder, assault, theft, rape, adultery, serious injury, etc.). Moreover, in civil matters, the *globnic* was in charge of enforcing the prince's orders and decisions, as well as private agreements between individuals.<sup>56</sup> Therefore, this activity was an important source of income for the prince's treasury, and the fines could be paid in cash, but also in kind (usually in oxen). The *globnic* could be employed by the prince, a monastery or a boyar.<sup>57</sup>

**1. THE FAMILY.** Several documents from the 15<sup>th</sup>-16<sup>th</sup> centuries provide information on this boyar's family. An internal document issued on 22 January 1495<sup>58</sup> shows that he had three children, although only one name, Stana, is known. She, in her turn, had a daughter, Anuşca. The other two children also had descendents. Thus, one of them—probably a daughter—gave birth to Toader Iucaş and Petru Iucaş. The latter had three children: Farco, who was a bailiff, Dolca, and Măruşca. It is very likely that Petru Iucaş is in fact that "Trişor" (a hypocorism of Petru), mentioned in a document issued by Prince Alexăndrel on 21 December 1452,<sup>59</sup> as Şandru of Iucaş's son (he owned the same villages in Tecuci district: Oprişeşti, Cârna, Răchitiş, referred to as Petru Iucaş's properties in 1495!). In

this case, his mother, the daughter of Laslău the *globnic*, was Şandru of Iucaş's wife.

Laslău the *globnic*'s third child, most likely also a girl, had three children: Oană Mustea (Isaiu Mustea and Toader Mustea's father, who are mentioned in a document from 25 April 1481<sup>60</sup>), Maruşca (Giurgiu Vulpe's mother) and Ilca (Andreico, Ion Baico and Toader's mother).

Toader was identified by historian Alexandru I. Gonța as Toader the *vistier* (treasurer), Stanciul Stărostescul's son and the ancestor of the Prăjeşti boyars.<sup>61</sup> The fact that Toader the *vistier* (who was Andruşco, Fedor, Mânzu, Ion and Marica's brother,<sup>62</sup> but also Ion Prăjescu's father<sup>63</sup>) and Toader, Ilca's son—referred to as holding the office of *cămăraş de visterie* (treasury administrator) on 9 January 1519<sup>64</sup> and 8 January 1523<sup>65</sup>—are one and the same is proved by the evolution of landed property in the village of Lăslăoani,<sup>66</sup> since Toader appears in both hypostases in the documents of the village.

Several documents from the mid–16<sup>th</sup> century highlight other descendents of Laslău the *globnic*: Isaiu Mustea had four children: Toader, Părasca, Tomina and Cârstea, mentioned as owning parts of Petrești and Dragoești on 8 March 1533.<sup>67</sup> At the same time, we discover more relatives: Toader Mustea, Isaiu's brother, had three children: Crâstâna Mustoaie, Ileana and Măria; Ion Baico, the Mustea brothers' cousin, had four children: Simion the *vătav* (administrator), Lazăr, Petre and Muşa; one of Ion Baico's brothers, Andreico, had three children: Toader, Pântea and Anuşca. All of them shared the two villages at the time.

Other descendents of the boyar are mentioned in two documents dating from 1555 (30 April<sup>68</sup> and 12 May<sup>69</sup>): Toader Iucaş, the grandson of Laslău the *globnic*, had two children: Moga, Odochia's mother and Marica, married probably to Hasan, with whom she had Tudora (Ion and Sile's mother) and Cozma.

Laslău's residence was probably in the village that bore his name, Lăslăoani, on the Cracău River, but the village has not survived.

**2. CAREER.** The period when the boyar Laslău performed the office of *globnic* is not known. However, if one takes into account the number of generations (in 1495, none of his children was still alive, only his grandchildren and great-grand-children), I believe that he lived and held office in the administrative system of Moldavia at the end of the 14<sup>th</sup> century and in the first half of the 15<sup>th</sup> century.

**3. LANDED ESTATES.** The land patrimony of Laslău the *globnic*'s family was quite vast, including nine villages, out of which two in Neamţ district (Lăslăoani and Şerbeşti, both on Cracău River) and seven in the northern part of Tecuci district,<sup>70</sup> on the Dobrotvor and Zeletin rivers (Cârna, Drăgoeşti, Mohorâți, Oprişeşti, Petreşti, Plăcinteni and Răchitiş). In 1495, his grandchildren and great-grand-

children claimed their parts of the inheritance, claiming that they were descendents of Laslău the *globnic* and were entitled to the properties mentioned above. All these villages had been owned by Laslău the *globnic*; on 22 January 1495, Stephen the Great ordered that they should be divided in three parts and each part should be shared among the descendents of the three children of the boyar. Thus, Anuşca, the only child of Stana, Laslău's daughter, received a full part of each of the nine villages, while the descendents of Laslău's other children, who were much more numerous, had to share the areas they were entitled to.

Until the mid–16<sup>th</sup> century, Laslău the *globnic*'s descendants are mentioned in several documents<sup>71</sup> as participating in the division of some of the villages mentioned above, but also as sellers and only once as buyers,<sup>72</sup> which leads us to believe that the financial power of this family had diminished.

**4. FOUNDING ACTIVITIES.** From a document dated 11 July 1428,<sup>73</sup> which is in fact a fake from the second half of the 16<sup>th</sup> century and which was drawn up by a monk from Bistriţa Monastery, we learn that, at that time, there was a church in the village of Lăslăoani and the monks were trying to include it, along with other churches, in the estate of their monastery. It is not known how old that church was or what it was made of or who had founded it, but it may have been founded by Laslău the *globnic*.

## 3. Seachil the Pârcălab's Family

**1. The FAMILY.** The origin of the Seachil family is Szekler, as it is also shown by its name.<sup>74</sup> Most likely, the father of Seachil the *pârcălab* (a *pârcălab* is the chief magistrate of a district), whose name is unknown, married a descendent of the boyars Oancea and Ilea, brothers who owned the village of Gocimani on the Orbic River in the first half of the 15<sup>th</sup> century. This assumption is justified by the information provided by a document dated 13 December 1585,<sup>75</sup> which shows that Seachil the *pârcălab*'s sons, Ionașco and Simion, were Oancea and Ilea's descendants, the latter being their "great-grandfathers." These two brothers, Oancea and Ilea, are also the ancestors of the Popoțea family, as the Seachil and Popoțea family members were "cousins" at that time.<sup>76</sup>

Consequently, Seachil the *pârcălab* had at least two sons: Simion Seachil, *cămăraş de ocne* (salt mine administrator), and Ionaşco, also a *cămăraş de ocne*.<sup>77</sup> His wife's name was Măgdălina, according to a document issued by Prince Peter the Lame on 25 February 1577<sup>78</sup> and to another document, issued by Jeremiah Movilă, dated 10 April 1598.<sup>79</sup> Simion Seachil had, it seems, one son, Ion,<sup>80</sup> who, in his turn, was the father of three children: Dumitraşco Seachil (*pitar*<sup>81</sup> and then *uşer*<sup>82</sup>), Tofan Seachil (*cămănar*<sup>83</sup>) and Todosia.<sup>84</sup> The documents that refer to them or to their descendents, but also to Simion Seachil, lead us to believe that he was their grandfather,<sup>85</sup> and not their uncle, as it would have happened if they had been Ionaşco Seachil's grandchildren; therefore it can be inferred that Ion, mentioned on 18 March 1606,<sup>86</sup> was Simion Seachil's son. His wife's name was Stanca,<sup>87</sup> probably one and the same with the woman mentioned on 4 May 1555<sup>88</sup> as a co-owner of the Arămeşti village, together with her relatives, Tăbuci Mititelul, Frăcea and Ana.

Dumitraşco Seachil the *pitar* married Nastasia, an uncle's adopted daughter,<sup>89</sup> and from this marriage resulted a child, Neculai Seachil, who was a *stolnic* (high steward) under Vasile Lupu's rule.<sup>90</sup> Neculai Seachil would marry Paraschiva,<sup>91</sup> the daughter of Toader Boul the *vistier* (treasurer) (Safta's sister, who was the wife of the future Prince Gheorghe Ştefan) and thus entered a family whose members held high offices in Moldavia in the 17<sup>th</sup> century and which, in its turn, was related to other important boyar families, such as Prăjescu, Cantacuzino or Ciolpan.

Tofan Seachil was married to Dingana,<sup>92</sup> Dinga's daughter, who was a *hat-man* and *portar al Sucevei* (hetman and official in charge with the defense of the capital, Suceava) between 1571 and 1572.<sup>93</sup> They had six daughters together: Maria, Tudora, Aniţa, Nazaria, Porhira<sup>94</sup> and Alexandra.<sup>95</sup> Since they did not have any boys, the Seachil bloodline was carried on by his nephew, Neculai Seachil, who did not have any children either and who was thus the last male descendent of this family.

**2. CAREER.** Seachil was initially a *medelnicer* (an attendant to the ruling prince) and was referred to as holding this office under Alexandru Lăpuşneanu, on 8 April 1560,<sup>96</sup> and Voivode John Despot, on 29 July 1562.<sup>97</sup> Under the latter's rule, he was promoted, as the internal documents mentioned him as *pârcălab de Neamţ* (chief magistrate for Neamţ district) and a member of the Council (16 March–17 June 1563),<sup>98</sup> next to Iaţco<sup>99</sup> (March) and Ion Danciul<sup>100</sup> (May–June). After that, he is no longer mentioned as a high official and he may have been one of the boyars killed<sup>101</sup> by Alexandru Lăpuşneanu during his second reign, especially since he had held a high office under Despot, who had dethroned Lăpuşneanu during his first reign. It is known for sure that on 25 February 1577<sup>102</sup> Seachil was no longer alive, but it can be inferred from a document dated 15 July 1569, issued by Bogdan Lăpuşneanu, that he was not alive at that time either, because it was his wife, Măgdălina, and not himself, who bought inherited plots in Bârjoveni.<sup>103</sup>

During Alexandru Lăpușneanu's first reign, while he was a *medelnicer*, on 8 April 1560,<sup>104</sup> Seachil was charged by the prince with setting boundaries in the villages of Brebii and Hociungii, in Neamț district; these villages, owned by Oană Porcu's descendents, were located close to his landed estates.

**3.** LANDED ESTATES. Seachil the *pârcălab* held land in Neamţ district, in the valley of the Orbic River. He owned half of the village of Bârjoveni, bought on 29 July 1562<sup>105</sup> from Vlaico and his family (Gligorie, Mihăilă, Ion, Grozav, Gaftona, Drăghici, Nastea and Stanca), who were all related to his wife, Magdalina (Măgdălina). The latter also owned a part of Bârjoveni, a quarter of the other half,<sup>106</sup> which she had bought from her "cousins," the brothers Herja, Sava and Berindei; the transaction was closed under Bogdan Lăpuşneanu and it was confirmed by Prince John the Brave.<sup>107</sup>

Seachil the *pârcălab* owned land in another village located in the Orbic Valley, named Gocimani, "both parts,"<sup>108</sup> but he lost the property (including the village of Bârjoveni) during the pillage carried out by Despot's army when he took the throne.

Seachil's descendents increased the family's lands. Thus, Tofan Seachil, in the third and fourth decades of the 17<sup>th</sup> century, owned in Neamţ district parts of the villages of Arămeşti,<sup>109</sup> Bahna, Runcu, Ţuţcani, Urzici,<sup>110</sup> Gocimani, Seliştea-Români<sup>111</sup> and Durneşti,<sup>112</sup> in Bacău district—parts of the villages of Fârloeşti, Dingani,<sup>113</sup> Ungheni, Poiana cu Păcurile, Poiana Prelucilor, Poiana Săcăturilor and Frumoasa<sup>114</sup>—all of them in the Tazlău Valley, which he inherited from his father-in-law, Dinga the *hatman*, as his wife's dowry. In Hârlău district, he owned parts of the villages of Cobăceni and Hlipiceni, which he sold, together with his nephew, Neculai Seachil, to Lupu Prăjescu, *mare-medelnicer*<sup>115</sup> (the land owned in Hlipiceni, taken over by his grandson, Constantin Buhuş, Todosia's son, would be sold on 11 September 1642 to the same Lupul Prăjescu).

In his turn, Neculai Seachil, besides parts of the villages of Bârjoveni,<sup>116</sup> Seliştea-Ruşi<sup>117</sup> (Neamţ district), Cobăceni<sup>118</sup> and Hlipiceni<sup>119</sup> (Hârlău district), which he inherited from his parents, also owned parts of the villages of Nisiporeni<sup>120</sup> and Stejăreni<sup>121</sup> in Suceava district, which came from the dowry brought by his wife, Paraschiva Boul. Consequently, the Seachil family's fortune increased in the first half of the 17<sup>th</sup> century in comparison with the parts of the two villages from Neamţ owned by their ancestor, Seachil the *pârcălab*.

**4. FOUNDING ACTIVITIES.** There is no mention of any church founded by Seachil the *pârcălab* or his descendents. One of his sons may have contributed to the endowment of the Holy Trinity Monastery, located at the mouth of Orbic River, where it flows into the Bistrița (nowadays Ciolpani Monastery, Bacău coun-

ty), founded by Ciolpan the *suliţaş* (spearman), who became a monk under the name of Hariton.<sup>122</sup> When the armies led by Michael the Brave invaded Moldavia in the spring of the year 1600, Simion Seachil hid his fortune inside the monastery, but was it plundered by a former servant, Dumitru Popoţea, to whom he was related and who had become an outlaw, as shown in a document dating from 1607–1611: "When Prince Michael came with his armies, Dumitru Popoţea, together with other outlaws, led them to the holy monastery harboring the holy and reviving triptych, as he knew that the people had taken refuge inside and hid the fortune of the faithful Seachil the *cămăraş*, because he had been the boyar's servant; everything was taken away, to the value of up to 1,000 ducats."<sup>123</sup>

### Conclusions

HIS STUDY, focusing on three boyar families, leads to several conclusions, the most important of which is that their members are mentioned in documents at least until the 17th century, which proves the continuity of the families over more than 200 years. Generally, these boyars held small offices in the state administration (except for Seachil, who was a medelnicer, then a pârcălab, under Prince Despot's rule) or, most often, did not hold any office. As to their land patrimony, it ranged from two villages (Seachil the pårcălab's case) to nine villages (for Laslău the globnic and his descendents, who owned land in two districts: Bacău and Neamt). While the fortune of the Urdiugaş and Laslău families was divided and significantly reduced beginning with the 16th century because it was shared among numerous descendents who did not hold important offices, the Seachil family's fortune increased in the first half of the 17th century by purchases, inheritances and marriage into socially well-placed families (such as Boul or Dinga). Moreover, the members of the Seachil family held offices that, albeit not first-rank (except for Seachil the pârcălab), brought them in contact with powerful people and created the conditions necessary for increasing their wealth.

It is important to note that most of the descendants of the boyars who founded the three families did not keep their ancestors' foreign-origin names or surnames and adopted Romanian names. This shows that they were fully integrated among the local boyars and were assimilated once they settled permanently to the east of the Carpathians.

#### Notes

- 1. Radu Rosetti, "Despre unguri și episcopiile catolice din Moldova," Analele Academiei Române, Memoriile Secțiunii Istorice (Bucharest), 2<sup>nd</sup> ser., 27 (1905): 251–253.
- Maria Magdalena Székely, "Familii de boieri din Moldova de origine transilvăneană," Arhiva genealogică (Iași) 1 (6), 1–2 (1994): 97–100.
- 3. Gh. Ghibănescu, Surete și izvoade, vol. 20, Documente slavo-române între 1587–1618 (Iași: Casa Școalelor, Tip. Viața Românească, 1928), 99.
- 4. Lucian Valeriu Lefter, "Boieri și 'domenii' în Țara Moldovei înainte și în vremea lui Ștefan cel Mare," Ph.D. thesis (Iași, 2009). The manuscript of the paper can be found at the library of the Faculty of History, A. I. Cuza University of Iași.
- Paul Daniel Nedeloiu, "Neamul Urdiugaş-Dumbravă din ţinutul Neamţ în secolele XV–XVII," a paper presented at the 15<sup>th</sup> National Congress on Genealogy and Heraldry, Iaşi, 13–15 May 2010, at the Union Museum—see http://www.ghyka.com/IRGH/Doc\_pdf/Program\_congres\_2010.pdf.
- 6. Székely, "Familii de boieri din Moldova," 99.
- 7. August Scriban, *Dicționarul limbii românești* (Iași: Institutul de Arte Grafice Presa Bună, 1939), 39. See also Francisc Király, *Contacte lingvistice: adaptarea fonetică a împrumuturilor românești de origine maghiană* (Timișoara: Facla, 1990).
- 8. Victor Spinei, Marile migrații din estul și sud-estul Europei în secolele IX-XIII (Iași: Institutul European, 1999), 359.
- 9. Ștefan S. Gorovei, "Clanuri, familii, autorități, puteri (Moldova, secolele XV–XVII)," Arhiva genealogică 1 (6), 1–2 (1994): 88–89.
- 10. Székely, "Familii de boieri din Moldova," 99.
- 11. Scriban, 453.
- 12. Documente privind istoria României, ser. A, Moldova (hereafter cited as DIR, A) and Documenta Romaniae Historica, ser. A, Moldova (hereafter cited as DRH, A).
- National Archives, Iaşi County Division, coll. Documents, 108/114, 173/198, 174/6, 174/108, 179/4, 251/1, 284/11, 350/77, 350/117, 411/213, 412/67, 449/46, 464/10, 616/147, 672/10, 694/3.
- 14. *DRH*, *A*, vol. 2, drawn up by Leon Şimanschi et al. (Bucharest: Ed. Academiei, 1976), 330.
- 15. Ibid., 388.
- 16. Ibid.
- Gheorghe Mareş and Dumitru Mareş, Monografia satului Ghigoeşti, judeţul Neamţ, de la înfiinţare şi până în zilele noastre (Bucharest: Ed. Casei Şcoalelor, 1938), 15 n. 1.
- Tezaurul toponimic al României. Moldova, vol. 1, Repertoriul istoric al unităților administrativ-teritoriale, 1772–1988, pt. 1, A. Unități simple (Localități și moșii), A–O (Bucharest: Ed. Academiei Române, 1991), 782.
- 19. G. Mareş and D. Mareş, 17.
- 20. DRH, A, 3: 126.
- 21. DIR, A, Veacul XVI, 1: 528–529.
- 22. Ibid., 528.

- 23. Ibid., 2: 198.
- 24. G. Mareş and D. Mareş, 32.
- 25. DIR, A, Veacul XVI, 3: 437.
- 26. Ibid., 1: 528.
- Henri H. Stahl, Contribuții la studiul satelor devălmașe românești, 2<sup>nd</sup> rev. ed., vol. 2, Structura internă a satelor devălmașe libere (Bucharest: Cartea Românească, 1998), 66–67.
- Documente privind istoria României, ser. A, Moldova, Veacurile XIV–XVII, Indicele numelor de persoane, author Alexandru I. Gonța, edition coordinated and foreword by I. Caproşu (Bucharest: Ed. Academiei Române, 1995), 342 (hereafter cited as DIR, Indice).
- 29. Paul Daniel Nedeloiu, "Neamul lui Crăciun Belcescu din ținutul Neamţ (secolele XV–XVII)," communication presented at the National Board of Heraldry, Genealogy and Sigillography of the Romanian Academy, Iași Branch, on 8 December 2009; see also *DIR*, *A*, *Veacul XVI*, 3: 121–122.
- 30. DIR, A, Veacul XVI, 1: 528, and ibid., 4: 134.
- 31. Ibid., Veacul XVI, 2: 107 and 116.
- 32. Ibid., 309.
- 33. Ibid., 3: 133.
- 34. Ibid., Veacul XVI, 4: 134.
- 35. Ibid., Veacul XVII, 2: 253.
- 36. Ibid., Veacul XVI, 4: 135; Veacul XVII, 1: 240-241; 2: 11-12.
- 37. Ibid., Veacul XVI, 4: 252; Veacul XVII, 1: 10-11.
- 38. Ibid., Veacul XVI, 4: 135.
- 39. Ibid.
- 40. Ibid., 137.
- 41. Ibid., 1: 528–529.
- 42. National Archives, Iași County Division, coll. Documents, 174/109.
- DRH, A, vol. 24, drawn up by C. Cihodaru and I. Caproşu (Bucharest: Ed. Academiei Române, 1998), 482. The document is a Romanian original and is kept at the Iaşi County Division of the National Archives, coll. Documents, 154/29.
- 44. The original document erroneously mentions "Măneşti" instead of "Măleşti." Since Obârşia and Măleşti were neighboring villages, owned by the descendents of the Urdiugaş-Dumbravă family, we believe that it should be Măleşti and not Măneşti.
- 45. *DRH*, *A*, vol. 26, drawn up by I. Caproşu (Bucharest: Ed. Academiei Române, 2003), 168.
- 46. Ibid.
- 47. Ibid., vol. 28, drawn up by Petronel Zahariuc, Marius Chelcu, Silvia Văcaru, and Cătălina Chelcu (Bucharest: Ed. Academiei Române, 2006), 417–418. The document is a Romanian copy from the 19<sup>th</sup> century, kept in Bucharest, in the National Archives of Romania, coll. Historic Documents, CLX/160.
- 48. Ibid., 24: 482.
- 49. Romanian Academy Library, *Creșterea Colecțiunilor*, no. 14, January–March (Bucharest: Socec, 1910), 47.

- 50. Nicolae Stoicescu, *Dicționar al marilor dregători din Țara Românească și Moldora: Sec. XIV–XVII* (Bucharest: Ed. Enciclopedică, 1971), 303. In footnote 1, the historian mentioned the 28 May 1546 document, which refereed to the descendents of Toader Urdiugaş and of his brother, Ivan Dumbravă.
- 51. I. Minea, "Pomelnicul Mânăstirei Bistriţa," *Cercetări istorice* (Iaşi) 8–9, 1 (1932–1933): 30–88. In the final part of this work, in a section entitled "Câteva observaţiuni," 79, the author stated that he believed *Vornic* Dumbravă to be in fact a Wallachian boyar, "a wandering companion of Prince Vintilă I, from the time of Prince John the Armenian."
- 52. DIR, A, Veacul XVI, 3: 10.
- 53. Ibid., 36.
- 54. Stoicescu, 303.
- 55. G. Mareş and D. Mareş, 139.
- Ovid Sachelarie and Nicolae Stoicescu, Instituții feudale din Țările Române: Dicționar (Bucharest: Ed. Academiei, 1988), 207.
- 57. Ibid., 208.
- 58. DRH, A, 3: 315.
- 59. Ibid., 2: 28.
- 60. Ibid., 362.
- 61. DIR, Indice, 680.
- 62. DIR, A, Veacul XVI, 1: 58-59 (document from 1 March 1507).
- I. C. Miclescu-Prăjescu, "Obârșia unei familii din Moldova," *Revista istorică română* (Bucharest) 10 (1941): 211.
- 64. DIR, A, Veacul XVI, 1: 137.
- 65. Ibid., 217.
- 66. DRH, A, 3: 315 and DIR, A, Veacul XVI, 1: 137; see also DRH, A, 6: 402.
- 67. DIR, A, Veacul XVI, 1: 351.
- 68. DRH, A, 6: 331.
- 69. Ibid., 354.
- 70. See the map *Țara Moldovei în timpul domniei lui Ștefan cel Mare și Sfânt*, eds. Gheorghe Nicolaev and Sergiu Tabuncic (Chișinău: Terra Design, 2007).
- 71. DIR, A, Veacul XVI, 1: 137, 351; DRH, A, 6: 331, 354.
- 72. DIR, A, Veacul XVI, 1: 217–218. Cămăraş Toader bought a fourth of the village of Cândeşti, in Câmpul lui Dragoş (Dragoş's Field), from boyar Cândea's greatgrandchildren, referred to for the first time on 4 April 1435 (when he was alive see DRH, A, 1: 192).
- 73. DRH, A, 1: 424.
- Maria Magdalena Székely, "O familie de secui în Moldova: Seachileştii," Arhiva genealogică 2 (7), 3–4 (1995): 19.
- 75. *DIR*, *A*, *Veacul XVI*, 3: 297 ("Ionaşco and his brother, Simion, Pârcălab Seachil's children").
- 76. Ibid.
- 77. Ibid., 4: 243, 245.
- 78. Ibid., 3: 82 ("Măgdălina, the late Seachil's wife").

- 79. Ibid., 4: 208 ("we gave our faithful servant, Cămăraş Simion Seachil, the inheritance he was entitled to, bought by his mother Magdalina, the wife of Seachil, the former medelnicer") (the *medelnicer* was an attendant to the ruling prince).
- 80. Ibid., Veacul XVII, 2: 13.
- 81. Ibid., 7-8, 13.
- 82. Ibid., 4: 404 (document from 20 October 1619).
- 83. DRH, A, 22: 312.
- 84. DIR, A. Veacul XVII, 5: 247.
- 85. DRH, A, 22: 275; see also DIR, A, Veacul XVII, 4: 69: "Dumitraşco and his brother, Tofan, Simion Seachil's grandchildren."
- 86. DIR, A, Veacul XVII, 2: 13.
- 87. Ibid., Veacul XVI, 4: 189.
- 88. DRH, A, 6: 336.
- 89. Székely, "O familie de secui," 20.
- 90. DRH, A, 28: 104 (10 July 1645).
- 91. Ibid., 22.
- 92. Székely, "O familie de secui," 21.
- 93. N. Stoicescu, "Lista marilor dregători ai Moldovei (sec. XIV–XVII)," Anuarul Institutului de Istorie și Arheologie A. D. Xenopol, Iași 8 (1971): 406.
- 94. Székely, "O familie de secui," 21-22.
- 95. Catalogul documentelor moldovenești din Arhiva Istorică Centrală a Statului (hereafter cited as *CDM*), vol. 5 (Bucharest, 1974), 420.
- 96. DRH, A, 6: 489.
- 97. Ibid., 531.
- 98. Ibid., 540, 553.
- 99. Ibid., 540.
- 100. Ibid., 544, 547, 553; Stoicescu, "Lista," 410.
- 101. Grigore Ureche, *Letopisețul Țării Moldorei*, edition coordinated by P. P. Panaitescu, historic and literary references drawn up by Mircea Scarlat (Bucharest: Minerva, 1987), 134.
- 102. DIR, A, Veacul XVI, 3: 82.
- 103. *DRH*, *A*, 6: 678.
- 104. Ibid., 489.
- 105. Ibid., 531.
- 106. DIR, A, Veacul XVI, 3: 82.
- 107. Ibid., 4: 208.
- 108. Ibid., 3: 297.
- 109. Ibid., Veacul XVII, 5: 247.
- 110. СДМ, 5: 420.
- 111. DIR, A, Veacul XVII, 5: 247.
- 112. DRH, A, 21: 8.
- 113. CDM, 3: 318.
- 114. DRH, A, 22: 312.
- 115. Ibid., 21: 86; 26: 475.

- 116. Ibid., 23: 236.
  117. Ibid., 22: 275.
  118. Ibid., 21: 86.
  119. Ibid., 26: 475.
  120. *CDM*, 3: 458.
  121. *DRH*, *A*, 28: 104, 124.
  122. Paul Daniel Nedeloiu, "C
- 122. Paul Daniel Nedeloiu, "Ciolpăneștii şi ctitoria lor de pe Orbic (sec. XVI–XVII)," communication presented at the National Board of Heraldry, Genealogy and Sigillography of the Romanian Academy, Iaşi Branch, on 8 February 2011, published in *Ioan Neculce: Buletinul Muzeului de Istorie a Moldovei* (Iaşi) 13–15 (2011): 7–19.
- 123. DIR, A, Veacul XVII, vol. 2 (Bucharest: Ed. Academiei, 1953), 136-137.

#### Abstract

Boyar Families of Transylvanian Origin, Village Owners in Neamţ District (15<sup>th</sup>-17<sup>th</sup> Centuries)

Besides the local boyar families, several villages in Neamţ district were owned in the 15<sup>th</sup>-17<sup>th</sup> centuries by boyars whose names suggest a probable Transylvanian origin: Laslău, Levet Miclouş, Laţco, Ioaniş, Urdiugaş, Birău, Petru Ungureanul, etc. Their presence among the local landowners is due to the fact that their ancestors relocated east of the Carpathians in the second half of the 14<sup>th</sup> century, at the same time as the founders of Moldavia, and also later on. This study aims at highlighting, within a chronological approach, some aspects related to the families (ancestors and descendents), offices, landed estates and potential founding activities of three boyars from Neamţ with Hungarian-sounding names: Urdiugaş, Laslău and Seachil.

#### **Keywords**

bloodline, boyar families, high officials, Moldavia, Transylvania





